(d) whether it is a fact that none of these communications has been replied to;

(e) what are the details of drug issues on which communications have been sent and what are the reasons for not giving replies to such communications;

(f) whether it is also a fact that certain complaints about corrupt practices by certain officials have also been received; and

(g) if so, what is the nature of complaints, details of officers concerned and action taken against each?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. NAMGYAL): (a) to (g) The information is being compiled and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Committees on drug industry

1393. SHRI RATAN KUMARI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that his Ministry has appointed a number of committees on drug issues;

(b) if so how many committees were appointed after the announcement of Measures, 1986;

(c) what were the terms of reference of each committee and what are the names of the members of each committee and when each committee was appointed;

(d) whether it is a fact that most of these committees have submitted their reports by the 15th July, 1989;

(e) if so, what are the names of the committees which have submitted their report by the 15th July, 1989;

(f) what are the details of reports which have been accepted by his Ministry and by when the remaining reports are likely to be accepted; and

(g) what are the details of the committees which have yet to submit their reports and by when they are likely to submit the same?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. NAMGYAL): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) to (g). The required details of the extent available will be collected and laid on the Table of the House.

Prices of Dexona range formulations

1394. SHRI CHANDRIKA PRASAD TRIPATHI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1611 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 8th May, 1989 and state:

(a) what are the prices fixed for Daxona range of formulations under DPCO, 1987 and when such prices were fixed;

(b) what were the prices of these formulations before such fixation;

(c) when these prices were implemented and what are the prices at which these formulations are being sold;

(d) what was the price of each pack and strength of these formulations under DPCO, 1979 and when these prices were fixed;

(e) what was the bulk drug price on which prices under DPCO, 1979 were fixed and what is the bulk drug price taken now;

(f) whether it is a fact that prices of these formulations have been overcharged;

(g) whether his Ministry has assessed the overcharging; and

(h) if so, what is the assessment and if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF

CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. NAMGYAL): (a) to (h) The required details to the extent available will be collected and laid on the Table of the House.

Overcharging on Gentamycin

1395. SHRI BEKAL UTSAHI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1610 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 8th May, 1989 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a claim of Rs. 194.62 lakh upto February, 1985 against Fulford represents the correct position;

(b) whether it is a fact that purchase price of Gentamycin was tampered by other companies to minimise the liability;

(c) what was the purchase price of Gentamycin by Fulford and what was the purchase price of Nicholas, Lyka and PCI for the same period;

(d) whether it is a fact that these companies have withdrawn money from their balance-sheets;

(e) what amount has been assessed for recovery from Nicholas, Lyka, PCI and other companies on account of Gentamycin and upto what period;

(f) whether any recovery has been made from these companies; and

(g) if so, what are the details thereof and if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. NAMGYAL): (a) Claim of the Government made in February, 1985, against M|s. Fulford India Limited relates to the period upto the end of February, 1984 including the stock as on 1st March 1984.

(b) Such instances have not come to the notice of the Government.

(c) The required details to the extent available are given below:

Sl. No.	Name of the Company	Purchase Price (Rs./Gm.)	Period involved
1.	M/s Fulford (I) Ltd.	Between 13.58 & 33.22	79-80 to Feb. 84
2.	M/s. Nicholas Labs. Ltd.	Between 16.15 & 35.20	79-80 to 83-84
3.	M/s. Lyka Labs. Ltd.	Between 28.30 & 34.28	7/79 to 3/84
4.	M/s. PCI Ltd.	NA	NA